Case 4:05-cv-00295-PJH Document 109 Filed 04/28/06 Page 1 of 5 ROBERT P. VARIAN (State Bar No. 107459) 1 JONATHAN B. GASKÌN (State Bar No. 203625) 2 EUNICE J. LEE (State Bar No. 233467) ORRICK, HERRÌNGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP 3 The Orrick Building 405 Howard Street San Francisco, CA 94105-2669 4 Telephone: 415-773-5700 5 Facsimile: 415-773-5759 6 Attorneys for Defendants Silicon Storage Technology, Inc., Bing Yeh, Yaw Wen Hu, Jack K. Lai, Yasushi Chikagami, Isao Nojima 7 and Derek Best. 8 9 10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 11 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 12 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION 13 14 Case No. Master File No. C-05-0295 PJH 15 In re SILICON STORAGE TECHNOLOGY, INC. SECURITIES LITIGATION, **CLASS ACTION** 16 STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] 17 ORDER ON BRIEFING SCHEDULE This Document Relates To: ALL ACTIONS. FOR MOTION TO DISMISS 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER ON BRIEFING SCHEDULE FOR MOTION TO DISMISS

27

28

1 WHEREAS, this Court granted Defendants' Motion to Dismiss the Consolidated 2 Amended Class Action Complaint on March 10, 2006; WHEREAS, this Court ordered that Plaintiffs Louisiana School Retirement System and 3 Louisiana District Attorneys' Retirement System (collectively the "Lead Plaintiffs") have to and 4 including May 1, 2006 by which to file a second amended consolidated complaint ("SAC"); 5 WHEREAS, Defendants anticipate moving to dismiss the SAC; and 6 7 WHEREAS, in the interest of judicial economy, Defendants and Lead Plaintiffs have agreed to the following briefing schedule for the Motion to Dismiss the SAC. 8 9 THEREFORE: 10 1. Defendants' time to respond to the SAC shall be 45 days from the date on which all defendants are served with the SAC, unless otherwise agreed by the parties. 11 12 2. Lead Plaintiffs shall file their Opposition to the Defendants' Motion to Dismiss the SAC within 45 days of service, unless otherwise agreed upon by the parties. 13 14 3. Any reply briefs to the Opposition shall be filed within 30 days of service of the Opposition, unless otherwise agreed upon by the parties. 15 16 4. A hearing on the Motion to Dismiss will be set thereafter at the Court's 17 convenience. 18 // 19 // 20 // 21 // 22 // 23 // 24 // 25 // 26 // 27 // 28 // STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER ON BRIEFING SCHEDULE DOCSSF1:877344.1 - 1 -FOR MOTION TO DISMISS

1	Dated: April 21, 2006		ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP
2			
3			s/ Robert P. Varian Robert P. Varian
4			
5			Robert P. Varian (State Bar No. 107459) Jonathan B. Gaskin (State Bar No. 203625) Eunice J. Lee (State Bar No. 233467)
6			The Orrick Building, 405 Howard Street San Francisco, CA 94105
7			Telephone: (415) 773-5700 Facsimile: (415) 773-5759
8			
9			Attorneys for Defendants
10	Dated: April <u>27</u> , 2006		BERMAN DEVALARIO PEASE TABACCO BURT & PUCILLO
11			
12			8/
13			Nicote Lavallee
14			Joseph J. Tabacco, Jr. (State Bar No. 75484) Nicole Lavallee (State Bar No. 165755)
16			Julie J. Bai (State Bar No. 227047) 425 California Street, Suite 2100 San Francisco, CA 94104-2205
17	·		Telephone: (415) 433-3200 Facsimile: (415) 433-6382
18			Liason Counsel for Lead Plaintiff
19	}		
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28	1		
	DOCSSF1:877344.1	- 2 -	STIPULATION AND (PROPOSED) ORDER ON BRIEFING SCHEDULE FOR MOTION TO DISMISS

1			
	Dated: April 272006		
1	Dated: April <u>Cl</u> 2006		POMERANTZ HAUDEK BLOCK GROSSMAN & GROSS LLP
2			
4			s/ Jason S. Cowart
5			Stanley M. Grossman
6			Marc I. Gross Jason S. Cowart
7			Patrick V. Dahlstrom 100 Park Avenue
8			New York, NY 10017 Telephone: (212) 661-1100 Facsimile: (212) 661-8665
9			
10			Lead Counsel for Lead Plaintiff
11			
12			
13			•
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19 20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			•
26			
27			
28			STIPULATION AND (PROPOSED) ORDER ON BRIEFING SCHEDULE
	DOCSSF1:877344,1	- 3 -	FOR MOTION TO DISMISS

Case 4:05-cv-00295-PJH Document 109 Filed 04/28/06 Page 5 of 5

ORDER

THE FOREGOING STIPULATION IS APPROVED AND IS SO ORDERED

4/28/06 Dated:



STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER ON BRIEFING SCHEDULE FOR MOTION TO DISMISS